8th June 2021

Imperial College London

Methane emissions from global natural gas supply chains

Quantifying emissions and assessing the risk of emissions

Jasmin Cooper IAEE Online Conference 2021 Concurrent session 64: Gas and the environment



Presentation outline

SUSTAINABLE GAS INSTITUTE

- Why CH₄?
- Methods
 - Quantifying emissions
 - Assessing emissions risk
- Results
- Summary and conclusions



SUSTAINABLE GAS INSTITUTE

The presenter would like to acknowledge the funding from the Sustainable Gas Institute, founded by Imperial College London. Funding for projects under the umbrella of the Sustainable Gas Institute is gratefully received from Imperial College London, Royal Dutch Shell, Enagás S.A., FAPESP, CNPQ, SNAM, NERC, EPSRC and Horizon 2020 programmes.



Why CH₄?

SUSTAINABLE GAS INSTITUTE



Myers et al., 2013. Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Ciais et al., 2013. Carbon and Other Biogeochemical Cycles. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.



Record methane levels pose new threat to Paris climate accord

SUSTAINABLE GAS INSTITUTE

Rising atmospheric concentrations have power to hasten glo



Scientists expect emissions, driven by fossil fuels and agriculture, to continue rising rapidly.

Soaring methane emissions threaten to put climate change goals out of reach

Global emissions of methane, a potent greenhouse gas, have soared over the past decade, according to two new studies that tracked growing sources of the odorless, colorless gas.

Forbes

Sep 4, 2020, 11:48am EDT

Big Oil Methane Emission Limits In Line With Paris Climate Pact Goals



The Seneca Resources shale gas well in St. Mary's, Pa. Eighty percent of North America's rise in methane emissions was from fossil fuels. Keith Srakocic/Associated Press



Methods



The supply chain **GAS INSTITUTE** Distribution Storage Pre-Transmission Exploration Processing Production production Storage Liquefaction Regasification and transport

Imperial College London



SUSTAINABLE

Quantifying emissions

- CH₄ emission factors derived from emissions data reported in national greenhouse gas inventories collected for largest gas supply chains
 - 17 major gas producing and consuming countries
 - Argentina, Australia, Bolivia, Canada, China, Egypt, Germany, Iran, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, Netherlands, Norway, Qatar, Russia, UK, USA
 - 63 countries who import/export gas to the 17 major countries
 - 80 countries and 252 supply chains in total
- Natural gas production, trade and consumption data collected
 - All supply chains considered: domestic production, pipeline trade, LNG trade and domestic consumption.
- Methane emissions calculated from emission factors and activity data
- Year 2017 considered



Assessing emissions risk

Bootstrapping resampling run on emission factor data to determine impact of Tier 1 data

- Risk assessment carried out based on emissions and emission quantification methodology used per country
 - Likelihood: occurrence of inaccurate emission factors based on IPCC tier
 - Consequence: emission rate, relative to 0.2% benchmark

		Consequence				
		Minor (1)	Moderate (2)	Major (3)	Extreme (4)	
Likelihood	Very high (4)	Low- risk	Moderate- risk	High-risk	High-risk	
	High (3)	Low- risk	Moderate- risk	High-risk	High-risk	
	Moderate (2)	No- risk	Low-risk	Moderate- risk	Moderate- risk	
	Low (1)	No- risk	No-risk	Low-risk	Low-risk	





Results



Methane emissions



Imperial College London



 \bigotimes

~~~









### Uncertainty of emissions data

#### SUSTAINABLE GAS INSTITUTE

- Resampling omitting Tier 1 data reduces emission
- 3.8-fold reduction in upstream emissions and 47% reduction in downstream emissions

|        |              | Production | Processing | T&S   | Distribution |
|--------|--------------|------------|------------|-------|--------------|
| Mean   | Original     | 0.87%      | 0.06%      | 0.07% | 0.15%        |
|        | Bootstrapped | 0.17%      | 0.02%      | 0.05% | 0.11%        |
| Median | Original     | 0.82%      | 0.09%      | 0.05% | 0.14%        |
|        | Bootstrapped | 0.17%      | 0.02%      | 0.05% | 0.11%        |
| SD     | Original     | 0.85%      | 0.05%      | 0.10% | 0.22%        |
|        | Bootstrapped | 0.04%      | 0.00%      | 0.01% | 0.02%        |
| 5% CI  | Original     | 0.77%      | 0.06%      | 0.06% | 0.13%        |
|        | Bootstrapped | 0.25%      | 0.03%      | 0.07% | 0.14%        |
| 95% CI | Original     | 0.98%      | 0.07%      | 0.09% | 0.18%        |
|        | Bootstrapped | 0.27%      | 0.03%      | 0.09% | 0.14%        |

Emissions before and after bootstrapping. CI; confidence intervals



### Areas at risk of emissions

#### SUSTAINABLE GAS INSTITUTE

 $\rightarrow$ 

 $\bigotimes$ 

~~~



Risk of high emissions

🔲 1 - 2 🔜 2 - 4 🔜 4 - 8 🔜 8 - 16

Summary and conclusions

- 26.4 Mt CH₄ emitted by all 252 supply chains.
 - 15.5 Mt CH₄ from upstream; 7.8 Mt CH₄ from downstream; 3.1 Mt CH₄ from trade.
- Average supply chain emissions is 134 kt CH₄
 - large variation, ranging from >1,000 kt CH_4 to <0.1 kt CH_4
- Average emissions intensity is 1.7%
 - ranges from 0.01% to 8.1%
- Supply chain emissions decreased by 3.8-fold upstream and 47% downstream when bootstrapped.
- Risk assessment found many countries are at high risk of high emissions.
- Unable to deduce whether our emissions estimates are an over or underestimate



Thanks for listening!

jasmin.cooper@imperial.ac.uk



SUSTAINABLE kt CH₄ emissions vs emissions intensity **GAS INSTITUTE**



 \bigotimes

~~~