



Yi Wan :: Paul Scherrer Institut

Prosumers with power to gas (P2G) in crosscountry electricity markets based on a gametheoretic equilibrium model 07.06.2021





Introduction

- Prosumer conceptual idea

• Methodology

- Cross-Border Electricity Market (BEM) Model

- Results and discussions
- Key messages



• Demand profiles typically exhibit a mismatch to energy supply options

- by intermittent generation of solar PV (38 times increase from 2009 to 2019 in Switzerland) and wind power

- by the seasonal availability of hydro power
- Emission reduction targets
 - limit the global temperature increase to 1.5 degrees
 - net-zero emissions by 2050
 - need to increase flexibility and reliability of electricity system
 - require the deployment of new low-carbon energy transition solutions







Research questions

- How electricity markets drive the operation of P2G technologies of different players, e.g. big national players and small prosumers?
- What factors will affect the deployment and operation of P2G technologies of prosumers and how these factors influence?
 - Likely factors include distribution capacity, grid tariffs, CO2 prices.
- What is the impacts on the electricity market if many prosumers (with P2G facilities) join the markets?







Prosumer conceptual idea





•

. . .

Methodology

Cross-Border Electricity Market (BEM) Model

A numerical model to maximize the profit for each player subject to physical constraints. Objective function for player i: max $P(q_i) \cdot q_i - Cost \cdot q_i$

Inputs **Outputs** BEM technology market clearing • • technology-detailed capacity and prices and availability volumes game-theoretic marginal production • • Nash-Cournot generation cost net imports ٠ transmission equilibrium model new technology ٠ capacity investments market power regional demand



Methodology Cross-Border Electricity Market (BEM) Model

Main settings

Players

Five country players (Austria, France, Germany, Italy, Switzerland) + prosumer (with P2G) player

Time slices

Four seasons with 24 typical hours for each season (4*24 hours)

Nodes

Five country-scale nodes (Austria, France, Germany, Italy, Switzerland) so far



Generation Tech

Multiple supply technologies (coal, lignite, oil (steam, turbine, CC), gas (steam, turbine, CC), nuclear, biomasswaste, run of river, hydro-storage, wind, PV, battery) + P2G technology (electrolyser, fuel cell (CHP), H2 tank, underground H2 storage, methanation)



Methodology

Prosumer settings and scenario assumptions

Prosumer scales:

• Small case: Zernez-based

Technologies: PV, Battery, Eheatpump, P2X technologies (Electrolyser, Fuelcell, Fuelcell_CHP, Methanation, H2 Tank)

• Medium case: Basel-based

Technologies: <u>Run of river</u>, PV, Battery, Eheatpump, P2X technologies (Electrolyser, Fuelcell, Fuelcell_CHP, Methanation, H2 Tank)

In the small and medium cases, prosumer players have to pay for the distribution grid fee and are subject to distribution line capacity constraints.

• Large case: National level

Without distribution line capacity constraints and grid fees.

- One player owns all plants including normal generation plants and P2G facilities;
- Separate (S): separate players own normal generation plants and P2G facilities.

Renewable scenarios:

Today, Year 2030, Energy Strategy 2050, Zero-Emission 2050



Small scale (Zernez, 0.1 MW electrolyser), Zero-emission 2050, Without direct H2 selling



Hydrogen usage pathways and Day-ahead electricity market prices, Zero-emission 2050 scenario, Without direct H2 selling, Zernez

Results



 H2 tank capacity (decided endogenously in the model): 1.8 MWh



Results

Small scale (Zernez, 0.1 MW electrolyser), Zero-emission 2050, With direct H2 selling



- to Residentia Market prices EUR/MWh to Transport 119 H2 usage MWh 3 0.5 0.7 85 89 0.3 51 34 0.1 17 0 0 WI-D-01 WI-D-16 SP-D-07 SP-D-23 SU-D-15 FA-D-07 FA-D-22 Time slices
 - New electrolyser investment: 0.36 MW



Results

Medium scale (Basel, 17.8 MW electrolyser), Zero-emission 2050 Without direct H2 selling





- H2 tank capacity (decided endogenously in the model): 440 MWh (about 25 times of installed electrolyser)
- 440*90=40000MWh=9500 ESI



Medium scale (Basel , 17.8 MW electrolyser), Zero-emission 2050 With direct H2 selling



Hydrogen usage pathways and Day-ahead electricity market prices, Zero-emission 2050 scenario, With direct H2 selling, Basel

Results



- H2 tank capacity (decided endogenously in the model): 475 MWh
- New electrolyser investment: 34 MW



Results Impacts of distribution grid fees Small scale (Zernez), Zero-emission 2050

Yearly generation of P2G facilities with changed distribution grid tariffs, Yearly generation of P2G facilities with changed distribution grid tariffs, Zero-emission 2050 scenario, Without direct H2 selling, Zernez Zero-emission 2050 scenario, With direct H2 selling, Zernez



• With the increasing distribution grid tariffs, the generation of P2G facilities increases.





Results Impacts of distribution grid fees Medium scale (Basel), Zero-emission 2050



• With the increasing distribution grid tariffs, the generation of P2G facilities increases.





Results Impacts of distribution grid capacities Medium scale (Basel), Zero-emission 2050



• With the increasing distribution grid capacities, the generation of P2G facilities decreases.





National scale (Switzerland), Zero-emission 2050 Without direct H2 selling



Results

Hydrogen usage pathways and Day-ahead electricity market prices, Zero-emission 2050 scenario, Without direct H2 selling, Switzerland



Stored energy and Day-ahead electricity market prices, Zero-emission 2050 scenario, Without direct H2 selling, Switzerland



- Electrolyser investment: 622 MW
- Underground H2 storage investment: 5222 MWh
- Methanation investment: 194 MW
- For National player, no fixed local demand has to be satisfied. The demand is elastic with prices.
- As a result, the seasonal shift is not profitable using FC/FC CHP.



Results

National scale (Switzerland), Zero-emission 2050 With direct H₂ selling



ίΩ.

Market prices EUR/MWh



Results and discussions Impacts of P2G on electricity market prices Zero-emission 2050



Standard deviation EUR/MWh	No P2G	P2G, no direct H2 selling	P2G + direct H2 selling
Switzerland	30.0	31.3	27.6
Austria	34.5	31.6	27.7



Results

Impacts of P2G on electricity market Zero-emission 2050

Summary of P2G impacts on Switzerland compared with no P2G situation

Cases		Renewable generation (PV + Wind)	Battery Discharging	Operational profit
National, P2G	no direct H2 selling	13.2%	-12.6%	4.2%
	direct H2 selling	17.9%	-19.5%	26.6%
National, P2G, Separate	no direct H2 selling	Separate player does not invest any P2G facilities.		
	direct H2 selling	10.3%	5.5%	22.3% ¹

1. Sum of normal and P2G player



- For a small prosumer connected to the distribution grid,
 - more P2G technologies are used with reduced grid capacities or increased grid tariffs, but the mechanism behind varies.
 - the reaction of prosumers to the increasing "islanding" effect depends on its local energy surplus/shortage.
- From the national case, it is shown that P2G technologies can help reduce market variance, increase renewable generation, and increase operational profit if multiple/ large plants are invested (more likely when direct H2 selling is introduced).
- Introducing direct selling as a pathway for green H2 can promote electrolyser investment and H2 production.



Wir schaffen Wissen – heute für morgen

My thanks go to

- Dr. Martin Densing
- Dr. Tom Kober
- Dr. Evangelos Panos
- Prof. Dr. Thomas Schmidt

and all support from team members.





Thank you very much for your attention.

Thanks a lot for the support from the Renewable Management and Real-Time Control Platform (ReMaP).

Yi Wan yi.wan@psi.ch

Martin Densing <u>martin.densing@psi.ch</u>

Energy Economics Group, Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI)











