Welfare, Redistributive and Revenue Effects of Policies Promoting Fuel Efficient and Electric Vehicles

Patrick Bigler Doina Radulescu

University of Bern, Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research and CESifo

June 7th, 2021 IAEE online conference 2021

Motivation - CO2 Emissions Transport Sector Switzerland

- Passenger car emissions increased by 44% since 2000 and represent 60% of road related emissions in Europe
- In Switzerland, the road transport sector accounts for around 39% of overall CO₂ emissions.
- Challenge: Achieve net zero emissions by 2050 while individual traffic projected to increase by 18% until 2040.



total: 14.8 million tonnes

CO₂ emissions from transport

Motivation - Electric and Hybrid Vehicles

- Electric vehicles (EVs) and hybrid cars proposed as solution and supported by various government policies
- Even though EV adoption displays an increasing trend, only 0.5% of the world's vehicles are electric (CH: 0.62%).



Research Questions

- What factors drive households' preferences towards electric and hybrid cars?
- How likely are different policies to increase the uptake of environmentally friendly cars?
- What are the effects of these policies across the income distribution?

Contribution

- Estimate discrete choice model of vehicle demand using comprehensive dataset including household level and car characteristics.
- Assess the uptake and welfare implications of different policy changes based on estimated coefficients.
 - Fuel levy
 - EV purchase subsidy
 - Mileage tax
 - Missing revenue from fuel taxation (Mineralölsteuer) due to increased fuel efficiency
 - Increased road use (km driven) but less income to finance road infrastructure
 - EVs do not contribute to road financing but also need the infrastructure

Literature

Effect of government policies on adoption of EV and HV

 Beresteanu and Li (2011); Chandra, Gulati and Kandlikar (2010); Gallagher and Muehlegger (2011); Li, Linn and Spiller (2013); Mian and Sufi (2012) or Muehlegger and Rapson (2018); Langbroek, Franklin and Susilo (2016); Szierchula, Bakker, Maat and van Wee (2014)

Consumer attitudes and perceptions towards these technologies

 Egbue and Long (2012); Krause, Carley, Lane and Graham (2013)

Peer effects

► Jannson, Pettersson, Mannberg, Brannlund, Lindgren (2017)

What does an electric vehicle replace

► Xing, Leard and Li (2021), Muehlegger and Rapson (2020)

Literature - continued

Equity aspects

Borenstein and Davis (2016)

Effect of gasoline taxes or fuel economy standards on vehicle choice

 Bento, Goulder, Jacobsen, von Haefen (2009); Grigolon, Reynaert and Verboven (2018); Bento, Knittel, Jacobsen, van Benthem (2019)

Mileage tax

Davis and Sallee (2020)

Registration taxes in Switzerland

Alberini and Bareit (2019)

Demand model(Grigolon, Reynaert, Verboven,2018; Xing, Leard and Li, 2021) Household *i's* utility from purchasing new vehicle type *j* :

$$u_{ij} = \beta^{x} x_{j} + \beta^{z} z_{i} x_{j} - \alpha_{1} (\log(p_{j}) + \gamma(G_{ij} + T_{j})) + \alpha_{2} \frac{\log(p_{j})}{y_{i}} + \epsilon_{ij} \quad (1)$$

Variable Costs:

$$G_{ij} = \rho m_i [e_j g_j (1 + \tau_j^g) + \tau_j^m]$$
⁽²⁾

$$T_j = \rho t_j \tag{3}$$

Capitalization factor:

$$\rho = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \frac{1}{(1+r)^s}$$
(4)

r=6%; S=10 years Assumption:

- Households take prices and taxes as given
- Future expectation is based on today's value
- Inelastic mileage

Conditional Logit

Probability of household *i* to choose vehicle type *j*:

$$P_{ij} = \frac{e^{\beta^{x}x_{j} + \beta^{z}z_{i}x_{j} - \alpha_{1}(\log(p_{j}) + \gamma(G_{ij} + T_{j})) + \alpha_{2}\frac{\log(p_{j})}{y_{i}} + \epsilon_{ij}}}{\sum_{j} e^{\beta^{x}x_{j} + \beta^{z}_{j}z_{i}x_{j} - \alpha_{1}(\log(p_{j}) + \gamma(G_{ij} + T_{j})) + \alpha_{2}\frac{\log(p_{j})}{y_{i}} + \epsilon_{ij}}}$$
(5)

 ϵ_{ij} i.i.d. Type 1 extreme value distributed Choice Set

Each household's choice set consist of 489 theoretical options based on fuel type (Gasoline, Diesel, Hybrid, Electric) and make-model segmentation (i.e. VW Golf, Audi A6)

- include car type fe; brand country of origin fe
- address price endogeneity by using a cost shifter annual penalties for fleet wide fuel efficiency standards car importers are subject to.

The Dataset

We have access to several datasets for households in the Canton of Bern, merging data from

- 1. Tax office of Bern
 - Income, wealth, household size, marital status, age
- 2. Road Traffic Office Canton of Bern
 - Data on new car registrations between 2008-2019 (ownership in 2019)
- 3. Eurotax, Federal Roads Office (Switzerland) and LEMNET
 - ► Vehicle prices, Fuel efficiency, engine power, car size
 - Data on number and location of EV charging stations

Summary Statistics

	G	asoline				
	N	Mean	Sd	Min.	Mediar	n Max.
Household income (TCHF)	16,005	111	556	0	90	68,364
Household wealth (TCHF)	16,005	680	5,825	0	311	648,887
Age (main income source)	16,005	55	16	21	57	⁷ 99
Suggested car price (TCHF)	16,005	31	20	8	28	3 210
Distance driven (KM/year)	16,005	11,259	2,084	4,132	11,183	3 29,715
Fuel Economy (CHF/100km)	16,005	9	2	6	ç	25
<i>CO</i> 2 emission (g/km)	16,005	135	27	88	129	359
Distance to EV charging station (m)	16,005	1,317	1,292	1	787	9,679
Household size	16,005	2	1.05	1	2	2 5
Urbanity of home	16,005	1.91	.88	1	2	2 3
	E	lectric				
	N	Mean	Sd	Min.	Median	Max.
Household income (TCHF)	380	170	141	7	138	1,092
Household wealth (TCHF)	380	1,495	3,844	0	711	63,082
Age (main income source)	380	55	13	22	54	119
Suggested car price (TCHF)	380	53	25	24	46	104
Distance driven (KM/year)	380	10,838	2,181	4,466	10,663	23,351
Fuel Economy (CHF/100km)	380	4	1	3	4	6
CO2 emission (g/km)	380	0	0	0	0	0
Distance to EV charging station (m)	380	1,313	1,310	37	791	7,482
Household size	380	2.47	1.2	1	2	5
Urbanity of home	380	1.84	.85	1	2	3

Conditional logit - estimated coefficients

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Car price (log)	-0.227 • • •	-0.034	-2.116 • ••
1 1 10	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.11)
Price (log) / income	0.002+	0.003+	0.002+
(-6/7	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
Variable costs (log ny)	-0.684 * **	-0.520 * **	-0.350 + ++
(in the second (in pro)	(0.08)	(0.10)	(0.10)
Engine nower (KW)	-0.000	-0.001+	0.007 • • •
migne perm (itt)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
Concentration in the second se	0.452	0.668	1.550
Car neight	(0.00)	(0.12)	(0.10)
G	(0.09)	(0.13)	(0.16)
Can weight	(0.000	-0.001 • • •	(0.00)
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
Hybrid engine	-0.751 • ••	-0.690 • ••	-0.205
	(0.16)	(0.16)	(0.16)
Electric engine	-1.983 • • •	-1.731 • • •	-1.178 • ••
	(0.23)	(0.24)	(0.24)
Diesel engine	-0.760 • • •	$-0.732 \bullet \bullet \bullet$	-0.567 • ••
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Car size	-0.127 • • •	-0.033	-0.006
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Size heterogeneity			
2 Persons	0.163 • • •	0.187 • ••	0.184 • ••
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
3 Persons	0.315 • • •	0.362 • • •	0.359 + ++
	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
4 Persons	0.516 * **	0.582 * **	0.577 + ++
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.07)
C . Demonstra	0.714	0.00)	0.785
o i i ciaona	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)
KW hat an arrest to	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)
A0.00 means and	0.000	0.002	0.002
40-00 years old	-0.003 * **	-0.003 • • •	-0.003 • ••
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
60+ years old	-0.005 • ••	-0.005 • ••	-0.005 • ••
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
EV effects			
EV agglomeration	0.311.	0.311+	0.310+
	(0.14)	(0.14)	(0.14)
EV rural	-0.023	-0.025	-0.026
	(0.15)	(0.15)	(0.15)
Distance to EV	-0.030	-0.029	-0.029
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Nb. Charging (5km)	0.007.	0.007+	0.007+
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
EV 2018	0.133	0.088	0.123
	(0.14)	(0.14)	(0.14)
EV 2019	1 357	1 307 * **	1 359 + ++
	(0.13)	(0.13)	(0.13)
Control function	No	No	Ves
Observations	9.816.000	9.816.000	9.816.000
Nr. of comm	23.074	29.074	22.074
Los Distant	-126,002.2	-124 604	-124 280 7
Con turne for	-100,003.3	-104,004	-104,000.1
Car type in	110	1 68	I CN
Car brand (country)	140	1 65	1 65

+p<0.1; * p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001

Coefficients based on estimated conditional and mixed logit model. Estimated standard errors in parentheses. Model (1) - (3) do not have random coefficients. Coefficients in Model (1) and (5) are based on constrol function approach with estimation of the pricing equation in a separate model based on cost shifters in a first step.

Conditional logit - predicted probabilites

	Overall	1 st inc. quartile	2 nd inc quartile	3 rd inc quartile	4 th inc quartile
Gasoline	0.6719	0.6883	0.6744	0.6645	0.6606
Diesel	0.2610	0.2463	0.2594	0.2679	0.2703
Electro	0.0167	0.0175	0.0162	0.0162	0.0170
Hybrid	0.0504	0.0479	0.0514	0.0514	0.0522

 $\it Notes:$ 1st quartile: income < 62.9 kCHF, 2nd quartile: 62.9>=income< 93.67 kCHF, 3rd quartile: 93.67>= income<131.7 kCHF and 4th quartile: income >= 131.7 kCHF..

Increase in fossil fuel levy by 0.12 CHF/I - Change in Probabilities

	Overall	1 st inc. quartile	2 nd inc quartile	3 rd inc quartile	4^{th} inc quartile
Gasoline	-0.00077	-0.00077	-0.00076	-0.00077	-0.00078
Diesel	0.00028	0.00027	0.00028	0.00028	0.00028
Electro	0.00030	0.00031	0.00029	0.00029	0.00030
Hybrid	0.00020	0.00019	0.00020	0.00021	0.00021

Notes: 1st quartile: income < 62.9 kCHF, 2nd quartile: 62.9>=income< 93.67 kCHF, 3rd quartile: 93.67>= income<131.7 kCHF and 4th quartile: income >= 131.7 kCHF.

Increase in fossil fuel levy by 0.12 CHF/I - Welfare analysis

	Cons. surplus (MCHF)	CS (% change)	$\textit{CO}_2 \text{ levy } (kCHF)$	Levy incidence (%)	Car taxes (CHF)	CO_2 (t)	CO_2 (% change)
1 st inc quartile	-1.530	-0.0999	195.65	0.204	-228.33	-2.084	-0.054
2 nd inc quartile	-1.585	-0.105	191.34	0.106	-217.40	-1.978	-0.052
3 rd inc quartile	-1.710	-0.109	197.7	0.077	-223.07	-2.079	-0.055
4 th inc quartile	-2.044	-0.108	188.89	0.036	-231.23	-2.027	-0.054
Total	-6.870	-0.106	773.56	0.073	-900.02	-8.171	-0.053

Notes: 1^{st} quartile: income < 62.9 kCHF, 2^{rd} quartile: 62.9 >= income< 93.67 kCHF, 3^{rd} quartile: 93.67 >= income<131.7 kCHF and 4^{th} quartile: income >= 131.7 kCHF. Consumer surplus based on logsum formula.

CO₂ levy simulation - Consequences



16/30

Introduction of mileage tax - Calculation

- Assume annual fuel tax payment per car should remain at 2010 level
- Calculate hypothetical tax revenue based on annual car registration growth rate
- Calculate difference between hypothetical and actual tax revenue. Division by amount of KM driven results in the mileage tax of 0.023 CHF/km

Introduction of mileage tax (0.023 CHF/km) - Change in Probabilities

	Overall	1 st inc. quartile	2 nd inc quartile	3 rd inc quartile	4 th inc quartile
Gasoline	0.0018	0.0018	0.0018	0.0018	0.0018
Diesel	-0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0002
Electro	-0.0012	-0.0012	-0.0011	-0.0011	-0.0012
Hybrid	-0.0004	-0.0004	-0.0004	-0.0005	-0.0005

Notes: 1st quartile: income < 62.9 kCHF, 2nd quartile: 62.9>=income< 93.67 kCHF, 3rd quartile: 93.67>= income<131.7 kCHF and 4th quartile: income >= 131.7 kCHF..

Introduction of mileage tax (0.023 CHF/km) - Welfare analysis

	Cons. surplus (MCHF)	CS (% change)	Mileage tax (kCHF)	Incidence (%)	Car taxes (CHF)	CO_2 (t)	CO_2 (% change)
1 st inc quartile	-5.212	-0.340	666.69	0.696	3,172	13.924	0.360
2 nd inc quartile	-5.375	-0.355	650.21	0.360	3,046	13.004	0.344
3 rd inc quartile	-5.764	-0.367	666.65	0.260	3,039	13.478	0.344
4 th inc quartile	-6.901	-0.367	636.39	0.122	3,023	12.807	0.342
Total	-23.252	-0.358	2,619.92	0.248	12,279	53.213	0.348

Notes: 1^{st} quartile: income < 62.9 kCHF, 2^{nd} quartile: 62.9>=income< 93.67 kCHF, 3^{rd} quartile: 93.67>= income<131.7 kCHF and 4^{th} quartile: income >= 131.7 kCHF. Consumer surplus based on logsum formula.

Mileage tax simulation - Consequences



EV subsidy (4k CHF) - Change in Probabilities

	Overall	1 st inc. quartile	2 nd inc quartile	3 rd inc quartile	4 th inc quartile
Gasoline	-0.0029	-0.0031	-0.0028	-0.0027	-0.0028
Diesel	-0.0010	-0.0010	-0.0010	-0.0010	-0.0010
Electro	0.0041	0.0043	0.0040	0.0039	0.0041
Hybrid	-0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0002

 $\it Notes:$ 1st quartile: income < 62.9 kCHF, 2nd quartile: 62.9>=income< 93.67 kCHF, 3rd quartile: 93.67>= income<131.7 kCHF and 4th quartile: income >= 131.7 kCHF.

EV subsidy (4k CHF) - Welfare analysis

	Cons. surplus (kCHF)	CS (% change)	Total subsidy (kCHF)	Car taxes (kCHF)	$\ensuremath{\textit{CO}_2}$ emission (t)	CO_2 (% change)
1 st inc quartile	387.93	0.025	201.53	-3.021	-17.057	-0.44
2 nd inc quartile	369.37	0.024	186.90	-2.813	-15.376	-0.406
3 rd inc quartile	384.51	0.025	185.64	-2.785	-15.485	-0.396
4 th inc quartile	487.83	0.026	193.85	-2.905	-15.370	-0.411
Total	1,629.63	0.025	767.91	-11.524	-63.289	-0.414

Notes: 1^{st} quartile: income < 72.5 kCHF, 2^{nd} quartile: 72.5>=income< 101.6 kCHF, 3^{rd} quartile: 101.6>= income<138.6 kCHF and 4^{th} quartile: income >= 138.6 kCHF. Consumer surplus based on logsum formula.

EV subsidy - Consequences



Conclusion

- Overall probability to acquire a gasoline, hybrid or EV amounts to 67%, 5% and 1.7% respectively
- Increase in fuel tax
 - Decreases consumer surplus; small reduction in CO₂ emissions of the new car fleet; regressive effects
- Introduction of EV subsidy
 - Increases consumer surplus; significantly decreases CO₂ emissions of the new car fleet; requires moderate outlays
- Introduction of mileage tax
 - Increases probability to buy gasoline driven cars; increases CO₂ emissions of the new car fleet; highly regressive

Supplementary Material

Welfare estimation for different counterfactuals

Small and Rosen (1981) approach:

$$\Delta E(CS_i) = \frac{1}{a_i} [ln(\sum_{j=1}^{J^1} e^{V_{ij}^1}) - ln(\sum_{j=1}^{J^0} e^{V_{ij}^0})]$$
(6)

 V_{ij} : deterministic household utility in the status quo (state 0) and counterfactual (state 1).

 a_i = marginal utility of income.

$$a_i = -\frac{\partial U_{ij}}{\partial p_j} = \frac{1}{p} (\alpha_1 - \frac{\alpha_2}{y})$$
(7)

Three policy experiments:

- Fossil fuel levy
- Introduction of a mileage tax
- Upfront subsidy for EV car purchase

Spatial Distribution of EVs and Hybrid Cars



Summary Statistics

Overal	l Sampl	e
--------	---------	---

	evenu	oumpie				
	Ν	Mean	Sd	Min.	Median	Max.
Household income (TCHF)	23,074	114	467	0	94	68,364
Household wealth (TCHF)	23,074	691	5,046	0	322	648,887
Age (main income source)	23,074	55	15	21	56	119
Suggested car price (TCHF)	23,074	35	20	8	32	210
Distance driven (KM/year)	23,074	12,342	2,875	4,132	11,961	29,715
Fuel Economy (CHF/100km)	23,074	9	2	3	9	25
CO_2 emission (g/km)	23,074	132	32	0	129	359
Distance to EV charging station (m)	23,074	1,320	1,300	1	789	9,679
Household size	23,074	2.1	1.11	1	2	5
Urbanity of home	23,074	1.91	.88	1	2	3

Prediction Evaluation

Income	Gasoline predicted (N)	Gasoline actual (N)	EV predicted (N)	EV actual (N)
1 st inc. quartile	3,971	4,450	100	52
2 nd inc quartile	3,890	4,045	93	55
3 rd inc quartile	3,833	3,853	93	68
4 th inc quartile	3,810	3,648	97	205
Overall χ_3^2	65.49		Overall χ_3^2	136.61
2^{nd} & 3^{rd} quartile χ_1^2	6.74		$2^{\rm nd}$ & $3^{\rm rd}$ quartile χ_1^2	35.45

Notes: The critical values are 7.815 and 3.841 for the χ_3^2 and χ_1^2 with a 95% significance level and 11.345 and 6.635 with a 99% significance level respectively.

Mileage tax - Graphical motivation



Notes: Based on several statistics from the Swiss department of statistics.