

Designing Auctions for Renewable Energy Support – Experimental Analysis of Multi-Technology Auctions

Ann-Katrin Hanke (Takon GmbH, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology)

Online IAEE 2021, June 9th

Auctions for renewable energy support in the EU

- AURES II Database
 - 413 auctions from 20 countries
 - Technology-specific
 - Multi-technology
 - Includes different design elements
 - Prequalification criteria
 - Securities and penalties
 - Remuneration scheme
 - Pricing rule and auction format
 - Quotas
 - Etc.
 - Outcomes (prices, competition,...)



http://aures2project.eu/auction-database/

_		Country	Source	Year	Submitted capacity [kW]	Submitted budget [€]	Submitted electricity [kWh]	Number of submitted bids	Highest submitted bid price	Lowest submitted bid price	Average awarded price [ct/	Highest awarded price [ct /	Lowest awarded price [ct /	Adjusted average awarded price	Awarded capacity [kW]	Awarded budget [C]	Awarded electricity [kWh]	Compe [Subrr volume one
Ŧ	-1			v v	v	¥	*		feeren *	fer , will A	· · · · · · ·	*****	×	kWh1	Y	*	*	volur
626	SL6_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2017	2017	13962				nia	n/a	6,08	6,08	6,08	6,26	200			2,21
627	SI_6_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2017	2017	9789				n/a	n/a	8,43	8,43	8,43	8,68	350			2,2
628	SI 6 MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2017	2017	153612				n/a	n/a	8,87	13,42	7,21	9,13	7780			19,7
629	SL7_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2017	2017	139650				n/a	n/a	13,42	13,42	13,42	13,82	400			19,7
630	SL_7_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2017	2017	13962				n/a	n/a	9,35	13,19	7,21	9,63	7380			19,7
631	SL7_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2017	2017	163801				n/a	n/a	7,48	8,5	6,62	7,70	8660			18,9
632	SL8_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2017	2017	139650				n/a	n/a	8,5	8,5	8,5	8,75	3000			18,9
633	SL8_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2017	2017	14362				n/a	n/a	6,85	6,85	6,85	7,05	2680			18,9
634	SL8_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2017	2017	9789				n/a	n/a	7,03	8,45	6,62	7,24	2980			18,5
635	SL_9_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2018	2018	325897				n/a	n/a	6,34	6,75	5,789	6,42	105130			3,10
636	SL_9_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2018	2018	28589				nla	n/a	6,63	6,74	6,62	6,71	11430			3,10
637	SL9_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2018	2018	297308				nia	n/a	6,31	6,75	5,79	6,39	93700			3,10
638	SI_10_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2018	2018	25494				n/a	n/a	8,75	13,9	7,16	8,85	5213			4,8
639	SI_10_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2018	2018	25494				n/a	n/a	8,75	13,9	7,16	8,85	5213			4,85
640	SL11_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2018	2018	308647				nia	n/a	6,71	6,75	6,02	6,79	19060			16,1
641	SL_11_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.ai/poziv2018	2018	297308				n/a	n/a	6,75	6,75	6,75	6,83	15000			16,1
642	SL_11_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.ai/poziv2018	2018	11339				n/a	n/a	6,53	6,55	6,02	6,61	4060			16,1
643	SL12_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2018december	2019					n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	nia	n/a	n/a			n/a
644	SL_13_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2018december	2019	41312,4				n/a	n/a	11,99	15,19	9,07	11,99	3130			13,21
645	SL13_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.sVpoziv2015december	2019	7580				n/a	n/a	15,19	15,19	15,19	15,19	950			13,2
646	SL13_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2018december	2019	33732,4				n/a	n/a	10,59	14,78	9,07	10,59	2170			13,2
647	SL_14_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2018december	2019	56453,4				n/a	n/a	7,38	17,007	6,489	7,38	7320			7,71
648	SL14_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2018december	2019	12423				nia	n/a	6,99	8,19	6,49	6,99	4900			7,71
649	SI_14_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2018december	2019	6				nia	n/a	6,71	6,71	6,71	6,71	6			7,7
650	SL_14_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.skpoziv2016december	2019	7580				nia	n/a	17,01	17,01	17,01	17,01	50			7,7
651	SI_14_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/pcziv2018december	2019	33732,4				n/a	n/a	15,65	15,65	15,65	15,65	10			1,1
652	SI_14_M1	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.sktpozw201sbecember	2019	2/12				n/a	n/a	7,96	10,01	6,79	7,96	2350			1.0
653	SL15_M1	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.arpozw2019uhi	2019	n/a				N/B	nva .	nra	nva	n/a	n/a	nra			iva
654	SL_16_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/pozw2019uni	2019	27951				n/a	n/a	7,30	9,08	7,19	7,30	12940			2,10
600	SL_16_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/poziv2019juni	2019	27951				nia	n/a	7,30	9,08	7,19	7,30	12940			2,10
656	SL 17_MT	Slovenia	https://www.agen-rs.sipoziv/2019/unit	2019	32596				n/a	n/a	8,04	14,89	7,25	8,04	13760			2,3
657	SL17_MT	Silovenia	https://www.agen-rs.sipoziv/2019juni	2019	2074				Na	n/a	7,98	8,11	7,85	7,95	149			2,3
608	SL_17_M1	Siovenia	https://www.agen-rs.supoziv.zu19juni	2019	n/8				n a	n/a	n/a	n/a	11.80	nva 11.80	n/a			2,3
000	DL 17_MT	Sizvenia	https://www.agen-rs.si/pozi/2019juni	2019	2217				n/a	n/a	14,89	14,89	14,09	14,09	400			2,3
000	SL 17 MT	Skydnia	nups.//www.agen-fs.5/p02//2019junj	2019	2/351				nia nia	-1/4	7,82	14,78	7,25	1,62	13001			2,37
661	01 17 MT	Churchia	Internet with a second and a second s	2019	004						0,54	3,90	1,94	0,54	210	ala	0/0	2,3
002	CI 10 MT	Clauseia	https://www.augures.aug	2020	0776						10.60	10.60	0.40	10.66	1046		0/8	0.2
004	CI 10 MT	Okusela	https://www.augurea.stpc///2019december	2020	1410				-18		9.97	14.00	0,40	0.00	040		0/8	9,3
665	SI 10 MT	Situania	https://www.agen.cs.8P002/20193606mber	2020	8358				-18	-118	15.58	15.58	15.58	15.52	150		0/8	9,3
888	SI 20 MT	Shuania	https://www.apan.rs.si/pot/2019decamber	2020	17839				-18	-18	8.01	15.58	6.64	7.99	12039		a	1.45
000	81.20 MT	Clauseia	https://www.agen-is.stpourizorecember	2020	0140				nia da	nia.	8.97	8.64	0.04	0.05	2244	nira ala	1/8	1.40
668	SL 20 MT	Situania	https://www.agenus.5PD02//20190606mber	2020	0140						0,97	0,04	0,04	0,80	0.041		0/8	1,40
000	SL 20 MT	Shuania	https://www.acan.cs.siport/2019december	2020	1419				-10	-18	15.23	15.23	15.23	15.10	1269			1,40
670	51 20 MT	Situania	https://www.apen.cs.stpuc/2015december	2020	8356				-18	-10	7.16	15.56	6.91	7.14	7076			1.40
	00,000,001	and the second	CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP	2020	0.00						0.00		7,20					1.44



Multi-technology and technology-specific auctions



- Alone in the EU, there are 296 technology-specific auctions and 106 multitechnology auctions.
- In different countries, different approaches are used.
- Theory is in favour of multi-technology auctions in terms of prices and allocation-efficiency.
- What can be said from an experimental point of view?

Experiment design

- Two groups (= technologies) with costs in different intervals A [300;400] and B [350;450]
- \rightarrow "Technology A is cheaper in expectation than B"
- Each bidder only has one good (single-unit supply)
- Comparison between separate auctions for A and B and joint auction for both

Separate B	Dricos
Bidder X_B	Thes
Bidder Y_B	Awards
Bidder Z_B	
	Separate BBidder X_B Bidder Y_B Bidder Z_B





1/1 students at

Conduction

- 144 students at KD2lab in Karlsruhe https://www.kd2lab.kit.edu/
- Internet-based auctions (otree) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbef.2015.12.001
- 40 rounds of auctions
 - 1-10: Separate, Group A
 - 11-20: Separate, Group B
 - 21-30: Joint, Group A
 - 31-40: Joint, Group B
- Half-stranger setting
- Two pricing rules:
 - Pay-as-Bid (PaB)
 - Uniform Pricing (UP)









Hypothesis 1

Average prices are lower in joint auctions than in separate auctions.

Hypothesis 2

The degree of efficiency is higher in joint auctions than in separate auctions.

Hypothesis 3

The outcome in joint and separate auctions does not depend on the pricing rule .

Did bidders behave irrational?



Almost 50% of bidders in UP bid lower than their costs.

Uniform Pricing	Joint Auctions	Separate Auctions
Bid > Cost	388	508
Bid = Cost	283	265
Bid < Cost	769	667

Only 3% of bidders in PaB bid their costs or below their costs.

Pay-as-Bid	Joint Auctions	Separate Auctions
Bid > Cost	1392	1410
Bid = Cost	24	13
Bid < Cost	24	17

Differences in prices are significant



• Significant differences between PaB and UP auctions, as well as between joint and separate ones (p<0.001).

Prices	Joint Auctions	Separate Auctions
Pay-as-Bid	355.95	362.66
Uniform Pricing	361.58	371.76

- In the joint auctions, around 84% of awarded bidders (804) were in group A.
- There seems to be no change of behaviour over time, while it does make a difference if bidders first play separate or joint.

Efficiency is higher in joint auctions



- An auction is efficient, if the bidders with the lowest costs are awarded.
- Here, the degree of efficiency is defined as the percentage of bidders which are correctly awarded.

D _{eff}	Joint Auctions	Separate Auctions			
Pay-as-Bid	84.38%	56.88%			
Uniform Pricing	86.46%	55.63%			

• Even with a stricter or a more loose definition, results are in general the same.

What can we say in conclusion?



Hypothesis 1

Average prices are lower in joint auctions than in separate auctions.

Hypothesis 2

The degree of efficiency is higher in joint auctions than in separate auctions.

Hypothesis 3

х

The outcome in joint and separate auctions does not depend on the pricing rule. *Pay-as-Bid leads to lower prices.*



- At the moment, we suggest to conduct joint auctions with the pay-as-bid pricing rule as long as technologies are somehow comparable.
- We plan on conducting further experiments to include
 - Multi-item supply:

Each bidder has more than one project to offer.

• Common value component:

The costs are not independently distributed, but there is a common value component, which is the same for all bidders.



Ann-Katrin Hanke Takon GmbH, KIT <u>hanke@takon.com</u>, <u>ann-katrin.hanke@kit.edu</u>





AURES II

Website: LinkedIn: Twitter: Newsletter: http://aures2project.eu/ AURES II @auctions4res http://eepurl.com/gd42zz



AURES has received funds for the years 2018-2021 from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement no. 817629