Energy Security of Poland and Coal Supply: Price Analysis

Honorata Nyga-Łukaszewska¹, Kentaka Aruga^{2,*} and Katarzyna Stala-Szlugaj³

¹SGH Warsaw School of Economics, Al. Niepodleglosci 162, 02-554 Warsaw, Poland, hlukas@sgh.waw.pl

² Graduate School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Saitama University, 255 Shimo-Okubo, Sakura-ku, Saitama, 338-8570 Japan, aruga@mail.saitama-u.ac.jp

³ Mineral and Energy Economy Research Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences; ul. Wybickiego 7A, 31-261 Krakow, Poland, kszlugaj@min-pan.krakow.pl

Contents



Goals and backgrounds







Proceedings of The 1st IAEE Online Conference, 7th - 9th June 2021

Goals

power (PSCMI 1) vs international coal and gas

Polish coal market for

Analyzing energy security of Poland from the price aspect

> Polish coal market for heating (PSCMI 2) vs international coal and gas

Hypotheses

PSCMI1 (steam coal fines sold to power industry): depends highly on domestic coal production

PSCMI1 linked with the international coal market?

H2

H1

PSCMI2 (steam coal fines sold for heating purpose): use of natural gas is increasing

PSCMI2 linked with the international gas market?

Relevant studies

- Studies related to energy security
 - Studies defining energy security and finding its indicator (Holley and Lecavalier, 2017; Cecchi et al., 2009; Kruyt et al., 2009).
 - Energy importing and energy security issue (Vivoda, 2009; Cohen et al. 2011).
 - Both imports and domestic production may pose similar threats for supply security (Luciani, 2004; Mitechell, 2002).
- Studies using similar methods
 - Effects of the 2008 financial crisis on the linkages among the oil, gold, and platinum markets (Aruga and Kannan, 2020).

Methods: assumptions

There is not a consistent definition for energy security.

Due to the unclear nature of the energy security phenomenon, we consider energy security based on the definition of the International Energy Agency (IEA):

- "uninterrupted availability of energy sources at an affordable price" (IEA. Energy Security. 2019).
- "physical availability of energy and prices."

This study focuses on the price-dimension of energy security.

Methods: quantitative methods



Data: domestic coal market indices

- Polish steam coal market indices
 - PSCMI1(PLN/GJ): steam coal fines sold to the power sector and industrial power plants.
 - PSCMI2(PLN/GJ): steam coal fines sold to industrial and municipal heating plants, other industrial consumers and others.

Data: international gas and coal prices

- Natural gas: monthly Russian natural gas border price in Germany (USD/BTU).
- International coal: monthly Australian thermal coal price (USD/MT).

Proceedings of The 1st IAEE Online Conference, 7th - 9th June 2021

Unit root tests

	Level				First differences				
	ADF	PP	KPSS	LS (two break)	ADF	РР	KPSS	LS (two break)	
PSCMI1	-0.88	-0.87	0.70 **	-4.85	-9.69 ***	-9.69 ***	0.43 *	-11.39 ***	
PSCMI2	-1.12	-1.10	0.56 **	-5.19	-11.30 ***	-11.20 ***	0.28	-13.11 ***	
Coal	-1.82	-1.93	0.36 *	-4.67	-7.32 ***	-7.27 ***	0.34	-8.25 ***	
Natural gas	-1.53	-1.09	0.79 ***	-3.98	-2.89 *	-8.83 ***	0.16	-10.31 ***	

ADF, PP, and KPSS unit root tests include only a constant. ***, **, and * denotes significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% respectively.

Johansen test

Variables	H ₀ : rank=r	Trace stat.	Max-Eigen stat.	
Coo vo DSCMI1	r=0	5.12	4.30	
Gas vs FSCIVIII	r<=1	0.82	0.82	
Coo vo DSCMI2	r=0	6.50	5.43	
Cas vs r SCIVII2	r<=1	1.07	1.07	
DSCMI1 No DSCMI2	r=0	12.84	11.97	
	r<=1	0.87	0.87	
	r=0	22.83 **	16.73 **	
	r<=1	6.09	6.09	
	r=0	23.92 **	19.08 **	Cointegrated
	r<=1	4.84	4.84	Contegrated
	r=0	26.85 ***	21.89 **	
	r<=1	4.97	4.97	

*** and ** denotes significance at 1% and 5% levels.

Bierens-Martins time-varying cointegration test

Variables	Cheby shev Time Poly nomials	Test statistic	P-value
	m=1	9.18 **	0.010
Coal vs Gas	m=2	27.86 ***	0.000
	m=4	40.11 ***	0.000
	m=1	1.45	0.484
Coal vs PSCMI1	m=2	9.45 *	0.051
	m=4	31.22 ***	0.000
	m=1	2.94	0.230
Coal vs PSCM12	m=2	5.41	0.247
	m=4	27.12 ***	0.001

All relationships contain time varying component

Note: *** and ** denote significance at the 1% and 5% levels, respectively.

Recursive cointegration test

Gregory-Hansen test

	ADF		Z _t		Ζα		_	
Variables	test statistic	Break point	test statistic	Break point	 test statistic	Break point	_	
Gas vs PSCMI1	-4.82 *	May, 2015	-4.87 *	May, 2015	 -41.36	Jul., 2015	_	
Gas vs PSCMI2	-5.50 **	Jul., 2015	-5.59 ***	Jul., 2015	-48.76 **	Jul., 2015	$\square \rightarrow$	Gas and PCMI2 cointegrated
PSCMI1 vs PSCMI2	-4.49	Oct., 2016	-4.43	Nov., 2016	-35.99	Nov., 2016		
Coal vs Gas	-4.93 *	Apr. 2016	-4.38	May, 2016	-32.01	May, 2016		
Coal vs PSCMI1	-4.99 *	Aug. 2016	-4.96 *	Aug. 2016	-37.68	Aug. 2016		Coal and PCMI1 cointegrated
Coal vs PSCMI2	-4.60	Apr. 2016	-4.55	Jul., 2016	-33.56	Jul., 2016		

***, **, and * denotes significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% respectively.

Conclusions

Conclusions: summary of findings

PSCMI1 (power marketoriented coal) linked with the international coal market?

PSCMI2 (heating marketoriented coal) linked with the international gas market?

Proceedings of The 1st IAEE Online Conference, 7th - 9th June 2021

Implications

- The electricity sector exhibits energy security as long as the domestic coal market remains self-sufficient.
- Energy security might be questioned for the heating sector as Poland rely natural gas on imports.
- Energy security analysis is highly context dependent.

Thank you for listening!

Please contact the following presenter for further questions: kentaka.aruga@gmail.com

Proceedings of The 1st IAEE Online Conference, 7th - 9th June 2021