Economics of Grid-Scale Energy Storage

Ömer Karaduman 8 June 2021

IAEE International Online Conference

omerkara@stanford.edu, omerkrdmn@gmail.com



Algorithm to Find Energy Storage's Impact



Results for Southern Australia Electricity Market

Private Return: If there is variance in prices, creates revenue Change in Social Returns:

- Pecuniary Externality

Transfer between consumer and producer surplus

- Change in Market Power of Incumbent Firms
- Efficiency of Production

Change in cost of electricity production Change in CO_2 emissions Decrease in renewable curtailment (waste) Private Return: If there is variance in prices, creates revenue Change in Social Returns:

- Pecuniary Externality

Transfer between consumer and producer surplus

- Change in Market Power of Incumbent Firms
- Efficiency of Production

Change in cost of electricity production Change in CO_2 emissions Decrease in renewable curtailment (waste)

These changes are particularly significant if storage is large.

Are incentives for **investing** and operating an energy storage in a wholesale electricity market **socially efficient**?

Are incentives for **investing** and **operating** an energy storage in a wholesale electricity market **socially efficient**?

- Is investing on energy storage welfare improving?
- Do prices create socially efficient incentives to operate?
- How does energy storage change CO₂?
- How energy storage interacts with renewables?
- Who should **own** energy storage?

I build a **dynamic** equilibrium framework to quantify a **hypothetical** energy storage's impact in a wholesale electricity market

- allow for storage's uncertainty
- allow for incumbent firms' response
- endogenize storage's price impact

I build a **dynamic** equilibrium framework to quantify a **hypothetical** energy storage's impact in a wholesale electricity market

- allow for storage's uncertainty
- allow for incumbent firms' response
- endogenize storage's price impact

Two technical challenges

- Storage's dynamic arbitrage problem
- Calculating new equilibrium prices (SFE)

Compute a SFE using estimated best responses to observed variation in **demand volatility**

Simulate a grid-scale energy storage in South Australia



Figure 1: Production of Hornsdale Power Reserve Electricity Prices for Two Days

A day with stable prices and a day with volatile prices

Imperfectly Competitive Markets: Market Power



Market power, $P^m(Q) > P^C(Q), \forall Q$

Arbitrage, Energy Storage shifts demand

Consumer surplus increases, price variation decreases

Picture for the Algorithm



Observed variation of demand in data conditional on X_k

Picture for the Algorithm

 $f_{D'}^{\sigma_i}(D'_d|X_k^{\sigma_i})$ + Storage's Production Net Demand σ_i Hour

Storage smooths demand

Picture for the Algorithm



Look for a similar observed variation of demand

Use firms strategies conditional on X_m as a best response to storage

South Australia July 2016 - December 2017

- Firms use step functions for each 48 half-hour of the following day
- Wind generators make up 40% of the generation
- High price volatility
- Three firms make up 95% of the combustion generation
- The largest lithium-ion battery came online in 2018

South Australia July 2016 - December 2017

- Firms use step functions for each 48 half-hour of the following day
- Wind generators make up 40% of the generation
- High price volatility
- Three firms make up 95% of the combustion generation
- The largest lithium-ion battery came online in 2018

I use data on

- Forecast and realized demand and prices
- Unit level half-hourly bids
- Forecast and realized renewable generation
- Industry cost and emissions estimates

- Storage's Price Impact
- Storage's Ownership Impact
- Renewables and Storage

A hypothetical monopoly storage with 120 MWh 30 MW capacities and 85% round-trip efficiency, 2%-10% of net demand in South Australia

To disentangle the storage's impact, I compare following cases

- No Price Effect
- Storage's Price Effect, No Firms' Best Response
- New Equilibrium Prices

Model Comparison

Table 1: Yearly Returns Per 1 MWh Under Alternative Modeling Assumptions

	(4)	(2)	(0)	(4)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Revenue (1000 AU\$ per MWh)	46.66	23.31	12.38	11.18
Cost (1000 AU\$ per MWh)	25.27	25.27	25.27	25.27
Profit (1000 AU\$ per MWh)	21.39	-1.96	-12.89	-14.09
Production (MWh)	845	716	511	450
∆ CS (1000 AU\$ per MWh)	-	-	24.56	27.08
Model Assumptions				
Price Uncertainty	×	√	√	√
Price Effect	×	×	√	√
Firms' Response	×	×	×	√

- Price Effect has significant impact on profit
- Allowing firms' response affects profit

Price Paths

- 3 Ownership structures
 - Monopoly Storage
 - Load-Owned Storage
 - Perfectly Competitive Storage Market

Private Incentives are not Socially Optimal

Table 2: Storage's Private and Social Returns Under Different Ownerships

		Per Year								
			Million A	\U\$		Thousand MWh				
	Sto	orage's		∆ in Mar	'ket's					
Ownership	Revenue	Cost	Profit	Consumer Surplus	Cost	Production				
Monopoly	1.34	3.03	-1.69	3.25	-1.54	54				
Load-Owned	0.59	3.03	-2.44	5.45	-2.21	114				
Competitive	1.06	3.03	-1.97	3.56	-1.77	84				

There is an under-investment and under-utilization problem

Private Incentives are not Socially Optimal

Table 2: Storage's Private and Social Returns Under Different Ownerships

		Per Year									
			Million A	AU\$		Thousand MWh					
	Sto	orage's		∆ in Mar	ket's						
Ownership	Revenue	Cost	Profit	Consumer Surplus	Cost	Production					
Monopoly	1.34	3.03	-1.69	3.25	-1.54	54					
Load-Owned	0.59	3.03	-2.44	5.45	-2.21	114					
Competitive	1.06	3.03	-1.97	3.56	-1.77	84					

There is an under-investment and under-utilization problem

Two distortions in prices

- Market Power of Monopoly Storage
- Others, e.g. Market Power of Incumbent Firms



Renewables and Storage

Table 3: Under Different Renewable Penetration Levels

	Per Year								
				Million AU	\$			Thousand Ton	Thousand MWH
	Storage's				∆ in M	arket's			
	Revenue	Cost	Profit	Consumer Surplus	Cost	Wind Revenue	Solar PV Save	Δ in CO ₂ Emissions	Curtailment
Baseline	1.34	3.03	-1.69	3.25	-1.54	-1.70	-0.44	-3.12	-
Double Wind	2.75	3.03	-0.28	6.12	-3.12	1.63	-0.38	-8.89	-18.6
Double Solar	1.65	3.03	-1.38	4.30	-2.12	-1.43	-0.78	-4.15	-0.1

Increase capacity of wind from 40% to 80% and solar from 10% to 20% Energy storage **hurts** renewables when there is no curtailment

Renewables and Storage

Table 3: Under Different Renewable Penetration Levels

		Per Year								
				Million AU	\$			Thousand Ton	Thousand MWH	
	SI	Storage's			∆ in Market's					
	Revenue	Cost	Profit	Consumer Surplus	Cost	Wind Revenue	Solar PV Save	Δ in CO ₂ Emissions	Curtailment	
Baseline	1.34	3.03	-1.69	3.25	-1.54	-1.70	-0.44	-3.12	-	
Double Wind	2.75	3.03	-0.28	6.12	-3.12	1.63	-0.38	-8.89	-18.6	
Double Solar	1.65	3.03	-1.38	4.30	-2.12	-1.43	-0.78	-4.15	-0.1	

Increase capacity of wind from 40% to 80% and solar from 10% to 20%

Energy storage hurts renewables when there is no curtailment

Two drivers for the impact

- Change in average prices
- Correlation of renewable production and prices

A model to **quantify** hypothetical energy storage's impact in wholesale electricity market, by endogenizing price impact

Market failures and welfare improving policies

- Under-investment: Not profitable, but consumer welfare improving Capacity Markets
- Under-utilization: Prices are not right incentives for efficiency
 Ownership Discussion

An independent energy storage **does not** seems support renewables when there is **no curtailment**

Thank you very much

- Ancillary services provide a good source of income, but will evaporate quickly with larger storage investment
- Average cost for grid-scale energy storage doesn't decrease much with the size after 5-10 MWh, but lumpiness in investment still can be a problem
- Energy storage can provide some other products, because of renewable replacement of fossil fuel, spinning reserve, capacity etc.

CAISO Future Power Plant Capacity								
Fuel Type	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024			
Biomass	1,068.7	1,072.9	1,122.8	1,122.8	1,122.8			
Coal	531.5	531.5	531.5	531.5	531.5			
Geothermal	1,798.2	1,866.6	1,901.6	1,901.6	1,901.6			
Natural Gas	31,761.1	30,923.3	31,323.3	28,454.5	28,654.5			
Other Fuel	954.4	2,207.4	2,424.7	2,619.7	2,689.7			
Solar	14,023.2	17,044.3	21,913.9	23,255.2	24,055.2			
Uranium	2,978.6	2,978.6	2,978.6	2,978.6	1,856.6			
Water	9,088.4	9,092.8	9,092.8	9,092.8	9,092.8			
Wind	8,796.1	9,069.4	9,230.4	9,522.8	9,538.3			

- 4 GW Pump Hydro in 2020, contracted or announced 2.3 GW
- 250 MW Battery in 2020, contracted or announced 1.5 GW

Curtailment in CAISO

Energy Storage need for 100% Wind Solar? 30 min calculation

- Take 2020 renewable generation profiles
- Invest 100 GW capacity in each wind and solar, where the peak system demand of CAISO is 50 GW.



There are days with almost no wind or solar in the whole system Need for **200-300 GWh** storage to maintain the short-run balance

Simple Exercise Cont.



Wind and Solar Capacity Factors in CAISO

Seasonal variation is around 50-60%

Need 1-2 TWh cheap storage to shift renewable generation between seasons

Storage's Impact on Prices

Figure 3: Storage's Price Impact Under Different Models for a Representative Day



- Storage smooths the price path
- Firm's response further smooths

Ownership's Impact on Operation

Figure 4: Energy under Different Ownership Structures for a Representative Day



- Monopoly Storage under-produces relative to Competitive Storage
- Load-Owned Storage searches for higher price impact

Storage's CO₂ Impact

 Table 4: Storage's CO2 Impact Under Different Ownerships

	Per Year								
	Mill	ion AU\$		Thousand Ton	Thousand MWh				
	Sto	orage's		Δ in CO ₂	Draduction				
Ownership	Revenue Cost Pro		Profit	Emissions	Production				
Monopoly	1.34	3.03	-1.69	-3.12	54				
Load-Owned	0.59	3.03	-2.44	1.61	114				
Competitive	1.06	3.03	-1.97	-2.64	84				

Energy storage **decreases** CO₂ emissions

Storage's CO₂ Impact

 Table 4: Storage's CO2 Impact Under Different Ownerships

	Per Year								
	Mill	ion AU\$		Thousand Ton	Thousand MWh				
	Sto	orage's		Δ in CO ₂	Draduction				
Ownership	Revenue	Cost	Profit	Emissions	Production				
Monopoly	1.34	3.03	-1.69	-3.12	54				
Load-Owned	0.59	3.03	-2.44	1.61	114				
Competitive	1.06	3.03	-1.97	-2.64	84				

Energy storage decreases CO₂ emissions

Two drivers for CO_2 impact

- Round-trip efficiency
- \bullet CO_2 efficiency differences of marginal units

Table 5: Storage's Production and Revenue Impact on Incumbent Generators

	Per Year								
	Thousan	d MWh		Million AU\$					
	Δ in Prod	uction of	Δ	∆ in Revenue of					
Ownership	Natural Gas Diesel-Oil Generators Generators		Natural Gas Generators	Diesel-Oil Generators	Renewables				
Monopoly	6.70	-4.31	-0.90	-1.02	-1.70				
Load Owned	21.92	-8.34	-1.86	-1.55	-1.43				
Competitive	14.38	-6.34	-0.93	-1.18	-1.62				

- Gas Generators increase production but lose revenue
- Load-Owned Storage has the largest revenue impact

CAISO Curtailment



Wind and solar curtailment totals by month