THE EFFECT OF VEHICLE-TO-GRID IN FRANCE AND GERMANY, IN A CONTEXT OF MARKET COUPLING BY 2035



Motivation

European ambition = common electricity market + decarbonisation of energy production and uses

Challenges: market design, grid stability



Need to draw cross section complementarity and smart control

I. Data

- II. EVs and interconnection lines
- III. Empirical results
- IV. Discussion



Energy demand curve

 $Demand = Production - Import + Export - Production_{REN}$

Year = 2019 – 3 aggregated weeks

German electricity demand for the 3 studied weeks

- 3rd of January « *Black Week* »
- 1st of May « Windy »
- 4th of July « sunny »

ParisTeci



Building of the merit order





Equations

$$min\left[\sum_{i,t} (c_{var,i} P_{i,t}) + c_l K_l + c_{sto} K_{sto}\right]$$

$$c_{var} = c_{fuel} + c_{O\&M} + c_{CO_2}$$

 K_{sto}

<i>c</i> fuel . Tuer cost	Gruel	: fuel cost	
---------------------------	-------	-------------	--

- $c_{O\&M}$: Operation & Maintenance
- c_{CO_2} : EU-ETS carbon cost
- P_i : Power supply of technology $i \in I$
- c_l : investment cost in interconnection lines
- K_l : Overall capacity of connection lines
- *c*_{sto} : investment cost in storage capacity
 - : Overall capacity of storage devices



Running the model



Germany

France



l. Data

II. EVs and interconnection lines

III. Empirical results

IV. Discussion



Integration of conventional storage mechanisms

- 4 technologies: STEP, CAES, Li-ion & EVs
- Initial capacities + free investment



German supply curve with storage enabled



l. Data

- II. EVs and interconnection lines
- III. Empirical results
- IV. Discussion



Model let free



ENPC/IFPEN

Storage investment: 2 GW of PHES in France, 6 GW of PHES in Germany. (dual values of -900€ for Germany and -400€ for France)

□ Investment in 16 GW of interconnection



Interconnection and V2G effects on total cost

5 GW investment needed in interconnection lines to change EVs from burden to support

(today ~ 2 *GW*)





Expected savings

Relative cost difference for two scenarios of 15 million EVs each, with and without V2G capability, depending on interconnection



From **1.5%** up to **4%** savings



l. Data

- II. EVs and interconnection lines
- III. Empirical results
- IV. Discussion



Discussion

- Interconnection is at work, effects can be enhanced by flexibility devices (V2G)
- EVs can have a positive impact all others things being equals
- Complementarity between both solutions



Discussion

• Limited area of study

- Deterministic and clear-sighted model
- Simplistic approach of EVs behaviours

