Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Carbon Tax and Emissions Transfer a Spatial Analysis

<u>Sahar Amidi</u> University of Orléans Rezgar Feizi University of Kurdistan

Thaís Núñez-Rocha University of Orléans Isabelle Rabaud University of Orléans

June, 2021

Introduction

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
00000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Essential facts

- Country tends to import the goods intensive in carbon rather than producing them domestically.
- Goods tend to be imported from near countries.
- ► Increase of the emissions embodied in exports and imports, around 5% and 10%, respectively.

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
00000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Carbon emissions affect the neighborhood through two ways:

First

Pollution at the national level, and transmission to neighboring countries through exports.

Second

- ▶ Transferring the production of their output to tax-exempt third countries.
- ▶ Imported goods from that country might be exempted from the tax.

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Three important reasons for using input-output model:

First

Ex-ante analysis can be carried out and serve as an effective tool in quantifying key coefficients changes to CO₂ emissions.

Second

 Dependency and proportionality relations between different sectors (inter-relations between industries).

Third

▶ Very tractable: the interactions of intermediate sectors and final sectors.

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Research questions

Main question

What is the carbon taxation effect in spatial econometrics approach (direct indirect effects) (Silva Freitas et al., 2016) and (Zhong et al., 2018)?

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Research questions

Main question

What is the carbon taxation effect in spatial econometrics approach (direct indirect effects) (Silva Freitas et al., 2016) and (Zhong et al., 2018)?

Secondary question

Which sector emitted more pollution through structural decomposition analysis (Perobelli et al., 2015)?

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Our contribution

Models

 SDA (structural decomposition analysis), MRIO (multi-regional input-output model), and Spatial econometric models

56 sectors gathered in 5 categories

 Electricity, Manufacturing industries construction, Other sectors, Residential buildings commercial and public services, Transport

43 countries

31 OECD countries and 12 other countries

Time period

▶ 2000-2014

Related literature

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

CO₂ emission transfers is synthesized into two different strands:

- CO₂ emissions embodied in trade that are generated by goods and services produced in some countries which are consumed in other countries.
- Carbon that is physically in fossil fuels, petroleum-derived products, harvested wood products, crops, and livestock products (Peters et al., 2012).

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	00●	00000	000000	0000	000000

Ways for equalizing carbon taxes across countries

First

All countries simply levy the same tax on the carbon content of fossil fuels produced within their borders.

Second

The production tax base could be modified to include fuel imports taxes to equalize them when production tax is lower in the producing country.

Final

Destination-based taxation.

Methodology

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	0000	000000	0000	000000

Explained variables

Emissions embodied in trade

LnY_{ijt}^{rs}

i: sector i, j= sector j, t: year, r: country r, s: country s i $\neq j, r \neq s$

- Emissions embodied in export
- Emissions embodied in import

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

LnT_{ijt}^{rs} (Tax variables)

- Before tax (Total emissions before tax)
- After tax (carbon tax, Total emissions after tax, and The tax impact on price index)

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	0000	000000	0000	000000

LnT_{ijt}^{rs} (Tax variables)

- Before tax (Total emissions before tax)
- After tax (carbon tax, Total emissions after tax, and The tax impact on price index)

LnX_{it}^{rs} (Control variables)

 GDP per unit of energy consumption, The ratio of clean energy to total energy use, Per capita GDP

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

LnT_{ijt}^{rs} (Tax variables)

- Before tax (Total emissions before tax)
- After tax (carbon tax, Total emissions after tax, and The tax impact on price index)

LnX_{it}^{rs} (Control variables)

 GDP per unit of energy consumption, The ratio of clean energy to total energy use, Per capita GDP

LnZ_{ijt}^{rs} (MRIO variables)

Intermediate inputs in local and other regions, Final requirements in local and other regions, Consumer Emissions, Producer Emissions

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

LnT_{ijt}^{rs} (Tax variables)

- Before tax (Total emissions before tax)
- After tax (carbon tax, Total emissions after tax, and The tax impact on price index)

LnX_{it}^{rs} (Control variables)

 GDP per unit of energy consumption, The ratio of clean energy to total energy use, Per capita GDP

LnZ_{ijt}^{rs} (MRIO variables)

Intermediate inputs in local and other regions, Final requirements in local and other regions, Consumer Emissions, Producer Emissions

OECD (Dummy Variable)

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Main estimating equation

$$LnY_{ijt}^{rs} = \beta_0 + \rho W_{t-1}^{rs} LnY_{ijt}^{rs} + \beta_1 LnX_{ijt}^{rs} + \delta_1 \sum_{ij=1}^n W_{ij} LnX_{ijt}^{rs} + U_{ijt}^{rs} + \epsilon_{ijt}^{rs}$$

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	0000●	000000	0000	000000

Measurement and Descriptive Statistics of Variables

Variable	Definition	Unit	Mean	Std.Dev.	Min	Max
EEE	Emissions embodied in exports	Mt	3.4874	1.7788	0.1344	10.5868
EEI	Emissions embodied in imports	Mt	1.8087	1.5514	-1417	6.4543
TAX	carbon tax	Million dollars	8.4638	2.0382	0	11.70127
TEBT	Total emissions before tax	Mt	11.7661	2.7111	4.8204	20.0515
TEAT	Total emissions after tax	mt	8.999	2.8979	1.807	18.0747
IPI	The tax impact on price index	Million dollar	3.6664	3.0262	-5.4033	9.4406
GDP-engi	GDP per unit of energy consumption	Million Dollar/Mt	-11.7465	0.3556	-13.0163	-10.8619
Clean-engi	The ratio of clean energy to total energy use	%	2.3358	1.1753	-2.4383	4.4784
PGDP	Per capita GDP	Million dollars	9.8501	1.0322	6.1983	11.5351
Intermediate-Local	Intermediate inputs in local region	Million dollars	12.5772	1.7475	8.2934	16.8424
Final-Local	Final requirements in local region	Million dollars	12.5545	1.7635	8.4225	16.6653
Intermediate-Other	Intermediate inputs in other regions	Million dollars	9.6786	1.5616	5.3796	13.2202
Final-Other	Final requirements in other regions	Million dollars	9.1475	1.663	4.5644	13.0963
C-emission	Consumer Emissions	Million dollars	17.4695	3.3585	9.188	26.1566
P-emission	Producer Emissions	Million dollars	14.9916	3.1469	6.6068	23.1412
OECD	Belonging to an OECD country	Dummy Variable	0.7209	0.4489	0	1

Results

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	00000	0000	000000

Results based on two directions

Step I

Recall the secondary question: Which sector emitted more pollution?

Step II

Recall the main question: What is the effect of carbon taxation through carbon embodied emissions in trade?

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Step I



Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Step I (Cont'd)



Estimation of Panel Spatial Models for Emissions Embodied in Imports Before and After Tax

VARIABLES	$1/d^{2}$	EEBT	$1/d^2$	EEBT	
	Befor	e Tax	After Tax		
tax	-	-	0.0205	0.0979***	
	-	-	(0.0317)	(0.0289)	
Inter-L	-5.712***	-2.145*	-2.965***	-0.170	
	(1.318)	(1.122)	(1.080)	(0.968)	
Final-L	1.416***	0.379*	0.624***	-0.00531	
	(0.227)	(0.210)	(0.193)	(0.185)	
Inter-O	3.365***	1.204	1.587**	0.0400	
	(0.884)	(0.736)	(0.722)	(0.631)	
Final-O	1.289***	0.214	0.754*	-0.254	
	(0.461)	(0.405)	(0.385)	(0.356)	
C-emission	4.918***	1.993*	2.426**	0.0572	
	(1.434)	(1.209)	(1.173)	(1.044)	
P-emission	-4.958***	-1.802	-2.528**	-0.0196	
	(1.429)	(1.200)	(1.171)	(1.037)	
ρ	0.0579***	0.00301***	0.0543***	0.00242***	
	(0.00457)	(0.000828)	(0.00427)	(0.000774)	
δ	1.078***	1.048***	0.843***	0.886***	
	(0.0312)	(0.0303)	(0.0244)	(0.0256)	

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Direct and Indirect Effects of the SDM Model for Emissions Embodied in Imports

Variables	Beta	Total	Direct	InDirect	Beta	Total	Direct	InDirect	Final effect
		Bef	ore Tax			Af	ter Tax		
tax	-	-	-	-	0.0096	0.0088	0.0019	0.0069	-
teat	-	-		-	0.3321	0.3059	0.0663	0.2395	-
tebt	0.0646	0.0596	0.0131	0.0465	-	-	-	-	-
ipi	-	-	-	-	-0.015	-0.0138	-0.003	-0.0108	-
GDP-engi	0.0746	0.0688	0.0151	0.0537	-0.0732	-0.0674	-0.0146	-0.0528	-0.1362
Clean-engi	0.1809	0.1669	0.0367	0.1303	0.0797	0.0734	0.0159	0.0575	-0.0935
pgdp	-0.0295	-0.0272	-0.006	-0.0213	-0.0747	-0.0688	-0.0149	-0.0539	-0.0416
Intermediate-Local	2.4115	2.2248	0.4887	1.736	2.6563	2.4468	0.5307	1.9161	0.222
Final-Local	0.7851	0.7243	0.1591	0.5652	0.1529	0.1408	0.0305	0.1103	-0.5835
Intermediate-Other	-1.0872	-1.003	-0.2203	-0.7826	-1.3974	-1.2872	-0.2792	-1.008	-0.2842
Final-Other	-1.5743	-1.4524	-0.3191	-1.1333	-1.2321	-1.135	-0.2462	-0.8888	0.3174
C-emission	-3.1963	-2.9488	-0.6478	-2.301	-3.141	-2.8933	-0.6276	-2.2658	0.0555
P-emission	3.198	2.9504	0.6481	2.3022	3.0964	2.8522	0.6186	2.2335	-0.0982
oecd	0.621	0.5729	0.1259	0.4471	0.5247	0.4833	0.1048	0.3785	-0.0896

All coefficients are significant.

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Main results

- ► The spatial spillovers positive, significant and accepted sign.
- ► Tax increase in pollution embodied in trade.

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Main results

- ► The spatial spillovers positive, significant and accepted sign.
- ► Tax increase in pollution embodied in trade.

Secondary results

- Electricity and heat production is the most polluting sector.
- Residential buildings, commercial and public services is the less polluting sector.

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

First

Tax implementation have been effective in emission embodied in import with considering trade matrix, but this effect is less tangible when considering the geographical distance situation.

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

First

Tax implementation have been effective in emission embodied in import with considering trade matrix, but this effect is less tangible when considering the geographical distance situation.

Second

Tax implementation have been effective in emission embodied in export with the weight matrix of geographical distance, but this effect is less tangible when trade is considered as the weight matrix.

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

First

Tax implementation have been effective in emission embodied in import with considering trade matrix, but this effect is less tangible when considering the geographical distance situation.

Second

Tax implementation have been effective in emission embodied in export with the weight matrix of geographical distance, but this effect is less tangible when trade is considered as the weight matrix.

Third

• The government can develop more rules when setting up the tax rate.

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Policy recommendations

First

EU zone or other integrated zones should harmonize this kind of increase in emissions.

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Policy recommendations

First

EU zone or other integrated zones should harmonize this kind of increase in emissions.

Second

There is the spillover effect before and after taxation. So, the government should care about their decisions on environmental taxation in their country and their neighbors.

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Policy recommendations

First

EU zone or other integrated zones should harmonize this kind of increase in emissions.

Second

There is the spillover effect before and after taxation. So, the government should care about their decisions on environmental taxation in their country and their neighbors.

Third

▶ Integrated regions are good to avoid the carbon tax spillover effect.

000000 000 00000 00000 000000 000000 0000	Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
	000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Thanks for your attention! Are there questions? amidisahar@yahoo.com Appendix

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	00000

$$\beta_{2} \mathbf{LnX_{it}^{rs}} = \begin{cases} \beta_{4} LnGDP.engi_{it}^{rs} + \beta_{5} LnClean.engi_{it}^{rs} + \beta_{6} LnPGDP_{it}^{rs} + \\ \delta_{4} \sum_{ij=1}^{n} W_{ij} LnGDP.engi_{it}^{rs} + \delta_{5} \sum_{ij=1}^{n} W_{ij} LnClean.engi_{it}^{rs} + \\ \delta_{6} \sum_{ij=1}^{n} W_{ij} LnPGDP_{it}^{rs} \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_{3} \mathbf{LnZ_{ijt}^{rs}} = \begin{cases} \beta_{7} LnInter.L_{ijt}^{rs} + \beta_{8} LnFinal.L_{ijt}^{rs} + \beta_{9} LnInter.O_{ijt}^{rs} + \\ \beta_{10} LnFinal.O_{ijt}^{rs} + \beta_{11} LnC.emission_{ijt}^{rs} + \beta_{12} LnP.emission_{ijt}^{rs} + \\ +\delta_{7} \sum_{ij=1}^{n} W_{ij} LnInter.L_{ijt}^{rs} + \delta_{8} \sum_{ij=1}^{n} W_{ij} LnFinal.C_{ijt}^{rs} + \\ \\ \delta_{9} \sum_{ij=1}^{n} W_{ij} LnInter.O_{ijt}^{rs} + \delta_{10} \sum_{ij=1}^{n} W_{ij} LnFinal.O_{ijt}^{rs} + \\ \\ \delta_{11} \sum_{ij=1}^{n} W_{ij} LnC.emission_{ijt}^{rs} + \delta_{12} \sum_{ij=1}^{n} W_{ij} LnP.emission_{ijt}^{rs} \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_{1}LnTEBT_{ijt}^{rs} + \delta_{1}\sum_{ij=1}^{n}W_{ij}LnTEBT_{ijt}^{rs} + \delta_{1}LnTAX_{t}^{rs} + \beta_{2}LnTEAT_{ijt}^{rs} + \beta_{3}LnIPI_{ijt}^{rs} + \delta_{1}\sum_{ij=1}^{n}W_{ij}LnTAX_{t}^{rs} + \delta_{2}\sum_{ij=1}^{n}W_{ij}LnTEAT_{ijt}^{rs} + \delta_{3}\sum_{ij=1}^{n}W_{ij}LnIPI_{ijt}^{rs}$$

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	00000

Results of Selection Model Tests for Emissions Embodied in Exports Before and After Tax

			SDM	SAC	SEM	SAR
After Tax	Log Likelihood Function	$1/d^2$	-455.908	-501.745	-501.071	-695.792
	-	EEBT	-746.597	-766.162	-774.967	-793.501
Before Tax	Log Likelihood Function	$1/d^{2}$	-514.411	-547.417	-553.264	-780.331
	-	EEBT	-815.793	-835.839	-877.358	-869.983
After Tax	LR Test	$1/d^2$	858.6497 ***	399.7964 ***	1296.0179***	251.9009***
		EEBT	36.9630***	53.1820***	72.6409***	16.3346***
Before Tax	LR Test	$1/d^{2}$	1069.4587 ***	11.9344 ***	1376.8246***	237.8938***
		ÉEBT	34.6413***	0.0019 ***	6.7194 ***	22.2970***
After Tax	LM Error (Burridge)	$1/d^2$	1835.3325***	2285.3894 ***	2285.3894***	2285.3894***
	-	EEBT	55.0979***	429.4411 ***	429.4411***	429.4411***
Before Tax	LM Error (Burridge)	$1/d^{2}$	2080.2515 ***	2600.1593 ***	2600.1593***	2600.1593***
	-	EEBT	55.1978 ***	505.2714***	505.2714***	505.2714***
After Tax	LM Error (Robust)	$1/d^2$	85.8318 ***	682.2846***	682.2846***	682.2846***
		ÉEBT	13.3914***	156.6260 ***	156.6260 ***	156.6260***
Before Tax	LM Error (Robust)	$1/d^{2}$	4.97e+04 ***	1.50e+05 *	1.50e+05*	1.50e+05*
		EEBT	231.4984***	2.36e+04**	2.36e+04 **	2.36e+04**
After Tax	LM Lag (Anselin)	$1/d^2$	1764.9363 ***	3728.4581***	3728.4581***	3728.4581***
	-	EEBT	43.5225***	304.3896 ***	304.3896***	304.3896***
Before Tax	LM Lag (Anselin)	$1/d^{2}$	2225.5606 ***	3444.2500***	3444.2500 ***	3444.2500***
	-	EEBT	46.6183 ***	379.6509***	379.6509 ***	379.6509***
After Tax	LM Lag (Robust)	$1/d^2$	15.4356 ***	2125.3533 ***	2125.3533 ***	2125.3533***
	-	EEBT	1.8159 *	31.5745 ***	31.5745 ***	31.5745***
Before Tax	LM Lag (Robust)	$1/d^{2}$	4.98e+04 ***	1.51e+05 *	1.51e+05*	1.51e+05*
		ÉEBT	222.9190***	2.34e+04 **	2.34e+04**	2.34e+04**
After Tax	AIC ¹	$1/d^2$	969.8165	1033.489	1034.143	1423.584
		EEBT	1551.194	1566.325	1581.934	1619.001
Before Tax	AIC	$1/d^{2}$	1078.823	1124.834	1134.528	1588.663
		EEBT	1681.586	1701.678	1780.716	1767.966
After Tax	BIC ²	$1/d^2$	1099.425	1100.528	1105.651	1495.092
		EEBT	1680.802	1642.302	1653.442	1690.509
Before Tax	BIC	$1/d^2$	1190.554	1191.873	1197.098	1651.232
	1	ĖEBT	1793.318	1768.717	1838.817	1830.536

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	000000

Results of Selection Model Tests for Emissions Embodied in Imports Before and After Tax

			SDM	SAC	SEM	SAR
After Tax	Log Likelihood	$1/d^2$	-753.616	-792.134	-793.743	-855.965
		EEBT	-777.319	-857.121	-859.943	-870.987
Before Tax	Log Likelihood	$1/d^2$	-902.163	-965.4405	-967.678	-1003.35
		EEBT	-878.168	-1031.13	-986.481	-1026.89
After Tax	LR Test	$1/d^2$	162.1024***	2.7493**	210.8688***	45.1557***
		EEBT	9.7860***	6.5061***	31.6680***	13.3546***
Before Tax	LR Test	$1/d^2$	159.9940***	4.4183***	187.0732***	77.6447***
		ÉEBT	13.2280***	4.2578***	118.0840***	25.1705***
After Tax	LM Error (Burridge)	$1/d^2$	430.8375***	620.2473***	620.2473***	620.2473***
		EEBT	6.4993***	106.5023***	106.5023***	106.5023***
Before Tax	LM Error (Burridge)	$1/d^2$	317.4636***	352.4611***	352.4611***	352.4611***
		ÉEBT	6.8341***	176.4571***	176.4571***	176.4571***
After Tax	LM Error (Robust)	$1/d^2$	321.6487***	921.3551***	921.3551***	921.3551***
		EEBT	2.8341**	0.7122	0.7122	0.7122
Before Tax	LM Error (Robust)	$1/d^2$	38.5859***	36.3757***	36.3757***	36.3757***
		EEBT	4.3674***	9.4530***	9.4530***	9.4530***
After Tax	LM Lag (Anselin)	$1/d^2$	470.2623***	406.8592***	406.8592***	406.8592***
		EEBT	4.0149***	111.1076***	111.1076***	111.1076***
Before Tax	LM Lag (Anselin)	$1/d^2$	331.8253***	376.5123***	376.5123***	376.5123***
		EEBT	4.6548***	195.7482***	195.7482***	195.7482***
After Tax	LM Lag (Robust)	$1/d^2$	361.0735***	707.9670***	707.9670***	707.9670***
		EEBT	0.3497	5.3175***	5.3175***	5.3175***
Before Tax	LM Lag (Robust)	$1/d^2$	52.9475***	60.4269***	60.4269***	60.4269***
		EEBT	2.1881**	28.7441***	28.7441***	28.7441***
After Tax	AIC ³	$1/d^2$	1565.232	1618.268	1619.485	1743.929
		EEBT	1612.637	1748.241	1751.886	1773.974
Before Tax	AIC	$1/d^2$	1854.327	1960.881	1963.355	2034.695

Introduction
Related literature
Methodology
Results
Conclusions
Appendix

000000
0000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
000000
0000000
000000
0000000

Estimation of Panel Spatial Models for Emissions Embodied in Exports Before and After Tax

VARIABLES	$1/d^{2}$	EEBT	$1/d^{2}$	EEBT		
	Befor	e Tax	After Tax			
tax	-	-	0.0418**	0.0542**		
	-	-	(0.0176)	(0.0246)		
Inter-L	-1.498**	-1.036	-0.275	-0.0965		
	(0.602)	(0.875)	(0.576)	(0.798)		
Final-L	0.802***	0.764***	0.598***	0.619***		
	(0.106)	(0.164)	(0.101)	(0.151)		
Inter-O	0.731*	0.228	-0.0979	-0.404		
	(0.404)	(0.575)	(0.388)	(0.523)		
Final-O	0.620***	0.283	0.285	0.103		
	(0.209)	(0.312)	(0.203)	(0.288)		
C-emission	1.792***	1.181	0.565	0.190		
	(0.654)	(0.942)	(0.625)	(0.858)		
P-emission	-1.688***	-0.906	-0.477	-0.0221		
	(0.652)	(0.935)	(0.625)	(0.852)		
ρ	0.0823***	0.00307***	0.0798***	0.00318***		
	(0.00252)	(0.000521)	(0.00272)	(0.000523)		
δ	0.523***	0.857***	0.479***	0.770***		
	(0.0146)	(0.0239)	(0.0134)	(0.0214)		

Introduction	Related literature	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	Appendix
000000	000	00000	000000	0000	00000

Direct and Indirect Effects of the SDM Model for Emissions Embodied in exports

Variables	Beta	Total	Direct	InDirect	Beta	Total	Direct	InDirect	Final effect
	Before Tax			After Tax					
tax	-	-		-	0.0017	0.0012	0.0001	0.0011	-
teat	-	-	-	-	0.0492	0.0359	0.0029	0.033	-
tebt	0.0053	0.004	0.0003	0.0036	-	-	-	-	-
ipi	-	-	-	-	-0.0017	-0.0013	-0.0001	-0.0012	-
GDP-engi	0.0423	0.0318	0.0028	0.029	0.013	0.0095	0.0008	0.0087	-0.0223
Clean-engi	-0.0151	-0.0113	-0.001	-0.0104	-0.028	-0.0205	-0.0016	-0.0188	-0.0092
pgdp	0.0293	0.0221	0.0019	0.0201	0.0174	0.0127	0.001	0.0116	-0.0094
Intermediate-Local	-0.0899	-0.0677	-0.0059	-0.0618	0.0848	0.0618	0.005	0.0568	0.1295
Final-Local	0.9331	0.7025	0.0613	0.6412	0.8341	0.6084	0.049	0.5594	-0.0941
Intermediate-Other	0.0378	0.0285	0.0025	0.026	-0.082	-0.0598	-0.0048	-0.055	-0.0883
Final-Other	0.0695	0.0523	0.0046	0.0477	0.0795	0.058	0.0047	0.0533	0.0057
C-emission	0.0874	0.0658	0.0057	0.0601	-0.0323	-0.0236	-0.0019	-0.0217	-0.0894
P-emission	-0.0978	-0.0736	-0.0064	-0.0672	0.0066	0.0048	0.0004	0.0045	0.0784
oecd	-0.0869	-0.0655	-0.0057	-0.0597	-0.0928	-0.0677	-0.0055	-0.0622	-0.0022

All coefficients are significant.